2019 GRANT REVIEW PAGE

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

TITLE:

Significance/Innovation:		
How will this funding help your future research?		
Grantsmanship:		
Approach Used:		
Have you received funding in the past 3 years, and how was the funding helpful?		
Investigatory		
Investigator: Is there evidence that the Investigator and/or project team member(s) has/have the expertise and ability to		
conduct the proposed experiments?		
Yes No		
Collaborative?		
Multi-disciplinary?		
More than one proposal?		
Overall Evaluation:		
Comments (Specify the reason for the score)		
Overall score (9 = Best; 1 = Worst):		
Score the proposals 1 (worst) to 9 (best):		

9	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
8	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
7	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
6	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
4	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
3	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
2	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
1	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses