PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:
TITLE:

Significance/Innovation:

How will this funding help your future research?

Grantsmanship:

Approach Used:

Have you received funding in the past 3 years, and how was the funding helpful?

Investigator:
Is there evidence that the Investigator and/or project team member(s) has/have the expertise and ability to conduct the proposed experiments?
Yes ☐ No ☐

Collaborative?

Multi-disciplinary?

More than one proposal?

Overall Evaluation:

Comments (Specify the reason for the score)

Overall score (9 = Best; 1 = Worst):

Score the proposals 1 (worst) to 9 (best):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Exceptional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses